

**HPNS Technical Team Meeting Agenda
September 19, 2017, 1000-1100 AM PT**

1. Welcome and check-in

Navy BRAC – Pat Brooks, Danielle Janda, Derek Robinson, Thomas Macchiarella

Navy BRAC Consultants – Scott Hay, Kim Henderson, Kathy Higley, Kira Sykes, Craig Bias

RASO – Zach Edwards, Matt Slack

EPA and consultants – Karla Brasaemle, John Chesnutt, Jana Dawson, David Kappelman,

Lily Lee, Lyndsey Nguyen, Anita Singh, Donna Getty, Brianna Fairbanks

DTSC – Nina Bacey, Janet Naito

CDPH – Tracy Jue, Sheetal Singh

City (includes OCII/SFDPH and consultants) – Amy Brownell, Bob Burns, Christina Rain

Water Board – Tina Low, Tina Ures

2. Soil

- Data evaluation progress and schedule update

- i. The Draft Parcels B and G Soil Report will be submitted to the Team electronically on SharePoint and EPA's OneDrive by 9/29. Hard copies/CDs can be requested the following week. The Team discussed the need to be prepared with key messages based on the conclusions and recommendations.
- ii. The data evaluation forms for Parcels D-2, UC-1, UC-2, and UC-3 are going through internal and RASO review and the pre-draft report is planned for submittal to the Navy in October, followed by Parcel C.

- UFP-SAP responses to comments

- i. The responses to comments was sent to the Team on 9/8. A redlined version of the SAP was requested prior to approval. EPA will have additional comments on the responses by 9/22. Following review of EPA's additional comments, a redline will be provided.

3. Building Scans

- Path forward based on 9/12 meeting – Based on the working meeting last week and

preliminary results of the data evaluation tests, the Team decided not to rely solely on TtEC's data and to resurvey and scan the buildings. The PowerPoint from the meeting last week will be provided to the Team. The following path forward steps were discussed.

- i. Prepare a work plan
- ii. Collect new static readings (scoping surveys) and augment with CDPH readings if appropriate
- iii. Propose new MARSSIM classification based on static readings and historical review
 - 1. Because defaulted to Class 1, may be able to reclassify to Class 2 or 3
 - 2. Review building's historical information relying on HRA and references

3. Develop TSPs based on the HRA for each building
- iv. Resurvey building using appropriate MARSSIM classification
 - v. Remediate if necessary
 - vi. Submit Final Status Survey and request for closure
4. Schedule and topics for future calls
 - Next call: Tuesday 10/3, 1000-1100 AM PT
 - Potential future topics:
 - i. Update on EPA soil data evaluation findings
 - ii. Soil sampling efficiencies
 - iii. Building scan approach and schedule discussion
 - iv. EPA/DTSC/CDPH building scan topics
 1. Nature of scoping surveys – timing, documentation, scope, etc.
 2. Approach for determining classification of individual survey units
 3. Reference background determination
 4. What further analysis will be done to identify falsification
 5. Addressing areas where falsification was found – Lily indicated potential concerns with covering up contamination and recommended to complete the duplicated data evaluation and include rescanning of all the survey units where duplicated data is identified. Derek indicated that the current plan is to conduct a new FSS and to rescope and rescan the buildings; therefore, the duplicated data is not relevant. Amy agrees with the Navy but as a compromise recommended only rescanning based on the data evaluation already conducted. EPA will consider this approach.
 6. Applicability of prior CDPH data